A Mathematical model for the cold storage of agricultural products
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ABSTRACT - Studies of control strategies for refrigeration systems observe, among other variables, the dynamic behavior of temperature and the consumption of electrical energy. Aiming to contribute to these studies, this work presents the proposal and validation of a mathematical model for the cooling system of a cold-storage unit. The model approximates the dynamics and interdependence of the variables, to first-order systems with time delay and the summation of effects. The input variables available are the frequency of compressor activation and the thermal load imposed on the unit, and as output variables, the air temperature both at the evaporator inlet and at the centre of the chamber, as well as the electrical power consumed by the compressor. The results obtained were satisfactory, with an error of less than 0.11 °C for the air temperature at the evaporator inlet, of less than 0.09 °C for the temperature at the centre of the chamber, and of less than 1% for the electrical energy consumed.
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RESUMO - Os estudos de estratégias de controle para sistemas de refrigeração observam, entre outras variáveis, o comportamento dinâmico da temperatura e da energia elétrica consumida. Com o objetivo de contribuir com estes estudos, o presente trabalho apresenta a proposta e a validação de um modelo matemático do sistema de refrigeração de uma câmara fria. O modelo aproxima a dinâmica e a interdependência das variáveis a sistemas de primeira ordem com tempo de atraso e somatória de efeitos. Como variáveis de entrada tem-se a frequência de acionamento do compressor e a carga térmica imposta à câmara e como variáveis de saída as temperaturas do ar na entrada do evaporador e no centro da câmara, bem como a potência elétrica consumida pelo compressor. Os resultados obtidos foram satisfatórios, com erro menor que 0,11 ºC para a temperatura do ar na entrada do evaporador, menor que 0,09 ºC para a temperatura do ar no centro da câmara e menor que 1% para energia elétrica consumida.

INTRODUCTION

Typically agricultural products are subjected to various post-harvest processes, especially fruits and vegetables. Among these processes, cooling and cold storage contributes to its quality and longevity. Chitarra and Chitarra (2005) established that the best temperatures for storage are between 0 to 15 °C, depending on the product. Also, mention that cooling is important to decrease metabolism and that every 10 °C less, the metabolic reactions fall by half, especially for highly perishable products.

After cooling, products must be stored in cold storage for preservation. A failure in cold chain causes lower durable products and inefficient use of energy for cooling and storage. According to Cortez, Honório e Moretti (2002), when cold is interrupted, it can create gaps for deterioration due to water condensation on the product, providing an excellent environment for fungi growth and other microorganisms.

Thus, during the storage period, it is interesting to keep the chamber temperature in a narrow range and no major variation, in spite of the existence of disturbances, such as heat generation due to: the biological activity, the operation of electric motors of the evaporator; presence of operators, the heat loss through walls, floor and roof and heat losses due to eventual opening of the chamber, among others.

The control strategy of on-off type, normally used in these chambers, causes premature deterioration of the compressor, high consumption of electricity and permanent unwanted oscillations in the chamber temperature.

The temperature control by varying the compressor speed has emerged as an interesting alternative for reduction in energy consumption, equipment preservation and, also, to keep the control of the chamber temperature, maintaining the quality and longevity of stored products. Several scientific papers (CUEVAS; LEBRUN, 2009; EKREN; SAHIN; ISLER., 2010; HUA; JEONG; YOU, 2008; MENEGHETTI et al., 2010; QURESHTI; TASSOU, 1996) show the benefits of this type of control which increases performance and decreases power consumption.

Obtaining a numerical model that adequately describes the dynamic behavior of such systems is crucial to simulate and explore their behavior in order to develop new strategies for temperature control. Some studies use numerical models based on partial differential equations for the conservation of mass and energy, dividing the system into control volumes (COSTA; LEAL; CARMO JÚNIOR, 2004; LI; ALLEYNE, 2010; MACKINLEY; ALLEYNE, 2008; NOGUEIRA; ZÜRN, 2005; ZHAO; ZAHEERUDDIN, 2005), obtaining important results for the simulation of the transient in cooling systems. Pirozzi and Amendola (2005) applied simulation to explore the rapid cooling of strawberries with forced air, validating the model with experiments, obtaining results that reinforce the importance of numerical models. However, an efficient model with simple identification makes easier its use and application, saving time and resources.

Studies of new control strategies generally intend to reduce the fluctuation of the controlled environment temperature and often reduce energy consumption associated with the system operation. In this sense, a numerical model is extremely useful for the simulation of the dynamic behavior of temperature and can estimate the electrical power consumed. Studies, as presented by Silva (2003) indicate that the compressor is responsible, on average, for 92% of electricity consumption of the refrigeration system. This fact reinforces the importance of studies that address the control of this equipment.

In this context, the objective of this study is to present an empirical numerical model for simulation of refrigeration systems with variable compressor speed operating under load disturbances.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The system under study operates in the storage phase, around a predetermined point, and may be considered continuous, time-invariant and with concentrated parameters. Thus, it can be represented by Laplace transfer functions.

Aprea and Renno (2001), Aprea and Renno (2009), and Ding (2006) reported that it is possible to relate the transfer function of mass of the refrigerant which passes through the evaporator with the air temperature at its output to a first-order system with time delay - Equation 01, where: K is the static sensitivity; L is the time delay and T is the time constant.

$$G(s) = \frac{K e^{-Ls}}{Ts + 1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Hua, Jeong and Yoon (2007) also used first-order approximation with a time delay for the models involved in thermal systems and obtained satisfactory results in their simulations.

Morini and Piva (2007, 2008) described the use of computer simulation of the dynamic behavior of thermal systems. They mention it is possible to separate the system into discrete blocks with relatively simple equation and still achieve significant results in computer simulations.

The model proposed intends to represent the dynamic behavior of temperature and electric power consumed by the compressor in a cold chamber at the stage of storage of...
perishable agricultural products. Therefore, the system shall be subject only to minor disturbances and modifications in the compressor, condenser, evaporator, and pipes are not necessary. Also, it was kept the original expansion valve, without electronic control, since studies like Hua, Jeong and Yoon (2007) found little influence of the expansion valve control in the temperature of the controlled environment.

The numerical model proposed is presented in Figure 1. Input variables are: \( F(s) \) which is the frequency of the compressor drive motor in Hertz and \( C(s) \) representing the heat load applied to the system, in Watts. The output parameters are: \( T_e(s) \) that corresponds to the temperature of air entering in the evaporator; \( T_c(s) \) as the air temperature in the chamber center, expressed in °C and, finally, \( P(s) \) representing the electrical power, in Watts, consumed by the compressor.

Increasing \( F(s) \), among other effects, reduces the air temperature inside the chamber and increases the power consumed by the compressor. Then, the blocks \( G_{F,T_e}(s) \), \( G_{F,T_c}(s) \) and \( G_{F,P}(s) \) represent the influence of \( F(s) \) in their respective output variables \( T_e(s) \), \( T_c(s) \) and \( P(s) \). Therefore, their transfer functions can be written according to Equations 2, 3 and 4:

\[
G_{F,T_e}(s) = \frac{T_e(s)}{F(s)} \tag{3}
\]

\[
G_{F,T_c}(s) = \frac{T_c(s)}{F(s)} \tag{4}
\]

The stored product, yielding heat to the chamber internal environment tends to increase the internal air temperature and the electric power consumed by the compressor. Thus, the blocks \( G_{C,T_e}(s) \), \( G_{C,T_c}(s) \) and \( G_{C,P}(s) \) represent the influence of the heat load imposed on the system in their respective output parameters \( T_e(s) \), \( T_c(s) \) and \( P(s) \). Their transfer functions can be written according to Equations 5, 6 and 7:

\[
G_{C,T_e}(s) = \frac{T_e(s)}{C(s)} \tag{5}
\]

\[
G_{C,T_c}(s) = \frac{T_c(s)}{C(s)} \tag{6}
\]

\[
G_{C,P}(s) = \frac{P(s)}{C(s)} \tag{7}
\]

For the block \( G_{F,P}(s) \), the time constant and time delay are very small compared with the values of other blocks, then the dynamics of the combination of electric motor and compressor was neglected, adopting only its static sensitivity (DING, 2006; ZHAO; ZAHEERUDDIN, 2005). The other blocks are represented by first order systems with time delay according to Equation 1.

As shown in Equations 8, 9 and 10, each output variable is the result of the sum of the effects of input variables, multiplied by their transfer functions.

\[
T_e(s) = F(s)G_{F,T_e}(s) + C(s)G_{C,T_e}(s) \tag{8}
\]

\[
T_c(s) = F(s)G_{F,T_c}(s) + C(s)G_{C,T_c}(s) \tag{9}
\]

\[
P(s) = F(s)G_{F,P}(s) + C(s)G_{C,P}(s) \tag{10}
\]

The model parameters were obtained using the first method proposed by Ziegler and Nichols, also used by Aprea Renno (2001) and Zaheeruddin and Zhao (2005). Basically, this method consists in obtaining the experimental system curve response to a step type excitation in the input variable. A conventional cold storage chamber, typically applied for storage of fruits and vegetables with dimensions of 3750 x 2750 x 2850 mm was used for the experimental analysis. Its components, such as pipelines, condenser, expansion valve and evaporator were kept in their original settings, except the controller originally of on-off type. It was replaced by a control system and data acquisition. Figure 2 shows the diagram of system control and data acquisition.

\[
G_{F,T_e}(s) = \frac{T_e(s)}{F(s)} \tag{2}
\]
used in the experiments, some showing pictures of the equipment. The system used a frequency inverter to control the compressor speed and a bank of electrical resistance to generate heat load inside the chamber. The temperature sensors were of type PT100, linked to temperature transmitters with output 4-20 mA. An electronic transducer measured the electrical power consumed by the compressor and transmitted by RS485 Modbus RTU protocol.

For the error calculation, it was used the standard deviation, according to Equation 11, where: \( D \) is the standard deviation; \( n \) is the number of samples and \((V_m-V_e)\) is the error between model \((V_m)\) and experiment \((V_e)\).

\[
D = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (V_m - V_e)^2}
\]  

(11)

A software developed by Tizzei et al. (2011), using LabVIEW®, running on a microcomputer, controls the actuators and records data from all sensors, storing them in files for later analysis. Table 1 details the equipment used during the experiments.

Table 1 - Equipments used during the experiments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equipment</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Compressor Hermetic, Alternative, R22</td>
<td>Copeland</td>
<td>CS14K6E-TF5-522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaporator</td>
<td>Macquay</td>
<td>FBA -190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condenser</td>
<td>Macquay</td>
<td>M4Q045-EF01-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable frequency drive</td>
<td>WEG</td>
<td>CFW080160T2024PSZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical parameters transducer</td>
<td>Kron</td>
<td>MultK-05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In order to determine the experimental conditions, the variables of interest were subjected to some preliminary tests. The average temperature outside the chamber during the tests was 18.9 ± 0.4 °C, considering that when the compressor shuts down, the camera tends to stabilize at room temperature. This temperature was established as zero standards. Preliminary tests showed that with a heat load of 1,430 W and with compressor driven at 60 Hz, the system reached the dynamic equilibrium at open loop cycle, remaining within its operational limits. Then, with a heat load of 1,430 W and the compressor operating at standard speed, Te stabilized at 21.8 °C below ambient temperature, Tc at 19.4 °C, also below ambient temperature. By this time, it was established \( \Delta T_{e_{\max}} = -21.8 \) °C and \( \Delta T_{c_{\max}} = -19.4 \) °C.

In order to identify the parameters of the blocks whose inputs is the frequency, the heat load was set at 1430 W and the compressor driving frequency was increased from 40 to 50 Hz, which means 16.7% of \( F_{\max} \) (60 Hz). This step in the frequency value was established in order to produce a variation in temperature \( T_e \) with the same magnitude observed in the on-off controller originally installed in the system. All tests were conducted with four replications.

Figure 3 shows the experimental and simulated results for the proposed model, related to the output variables \( T_e, T_c \) and \( P \) due to a step from 40 to 50 Hz in the compressor driving frequency. Figure 3a shows the experimental response of \( T_e \), observing that \( \Delta T_e \) was -2.3 °C, which means 10.5% of \( \Delta T_{e_{\max}} \). Equation 12 shows the transfer function block \( G_{F_{T_e}}(s) \).

\[
G_{F_{T_e}}(s) = \frac{T_e}{F} = \frac{-0.63e^{-45s}}{916s+1}
\]  

(12)
Model $G_{e,T_e}(s)$ showed a maximum error of 0.11 °C in the estimation of $T_e$ compared to the experimental result.

Figure 3b shows the simulated response of $T_c$ for the same amount of excitation in the driving frequency. A $\Delta T_c$ of -2.1 °C was observed, which means 10.8% of $\Delta T_{c_{max}}$. Then, the transfer function for the block $G_{e,T_c}(s)$ was calculated according to Equation 13.
The model $G_{F_{-Tc}}(s)$ showed a maximum error of 0.09 °C in the estimation of $T_c$ compared to the experimental result.

For a compressor driving frequency of 60 Hz, the average power consumption was 1903 W. For the applied step in frequency (from 40 to 50 Hz) power raised from 1233 to 1524 W, generating an increase of 290 W which means 15.3% of total variation. Keeping the experimental condition, Figure 3c shows the behavior of $P$ obtained experimentally and calculated by the model, using the gain of the block $G_{F_{-P}}(s)$, according to Equation 14. In this case, the maximum error for the model was 1.4%.

Applying the same technique to identify the models whose input is the heat load ($C$), the driving frequency was fixed at 45 Hz and a step in the heat load was applied from 1790 to 2860 W. In these experiments $\Delta T_{e_{max}}$ was 2.6 °C and $\Delta T_{c_{max}}$ was 4.5 °C.

The amplitude of the thermal load step was determined in order to generate on $T_e$, approximately, the same temperature variation generated by the step on $F$.

Figure 4 shows the experimental and simulated results for the proposed models, related to the output variables $T_e$, $T_c$ and $P$ due to the thermal load step in the system. Figure 4a shows the behavior of $T_e$, both experimental and simulated for the heat load step applied.

From the analysis of the measured values, it was possible to identify the transfer function of Equation 15. In this case, the average error of the model was 0.04 °C.

$$G_{C_{-P}}(s) = \frac{T_c}{C} = \frac{0.25e^{-15s}}{799s+1}$$ (16)

The transfer function for the block $G_{C_{-P}}(s)$ (Equation 17) had its parameters identified based on the experimental response of $P$, which curve is shown in Figure 4c. Also, Figure 4c shows the result obtained by the fitted model, with an average error of ±6.5%. However, for the real system, a consumption of 1794 kWh was calculated for the power consumed by the compressor in 5000 seconds. Integrating in the same period, the result obtained by the model for the output $P$ was 1792 kWh, which represents an error of less than 1% in energy consumed.

In order to identify the parameters of the transfer function block $G_{C_{-P}}(s)$ represented by Equation 16, the curve of the experimental behavior for the variation of $T_c$, shown in Figure 4b, was used. In this case, the adjusted model showed an average error of 0.05 °C and its response is also presented in Figure 4b.
CONCLUSIONS

1. This study presented a numerical model with simple structure and easy identification by using transfer functions of first order with time delay and sum of effects between the variables;

2. The identification and validation of the block $G_c$ indicates that, even in open loop, the heat load
directly influences the power consumption of the compressor;

3. The structure and the interdependence between the input and output variables were confirmed by the results obtained during the models validation. The resulting errors are considered satisfactory, less than 0.11 °C for Te, smaller than 0.09 ºC for Tc and lower than 1% for the energy consumed;

4. The model was shown to be suitable for studies on control strategies in refrigeration systems in order to explore the dynamics of temperature and reducing energy consumption.
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